arXiv:1104.4752v1 [math.RA] 25 Apr 2011

Maximal T-spaces of a free associative algebra

C. Bekh-Ochir and S. A. Rankin

January 20, 2013

Abstract

We study the lattice of T-spaces of a free associative k-algebra over a nonempty set. It is shown that when the field k is infinite, then the lattice has a maximum element, and that maximum element is in fact a T-ideal. In striking contrast, it is then proven that when the field k is finite, the lattice of T-spaces has infinitely many maximal elements (of which exactly two are T-ideals). Similar results are also obtained for the free unitary associative k-algebras. The proof is based on the observation that there is a natural bijection between the sets of maximal T-spaces of the free associative k-algebras over a nonempty set X and over a singleton set. This permits the transfer of results from the study of the lattice of T-spaces of the free associative k-algebra over a one-element set to the general case.

1 Introduction

Let k be a field, and let A be an associative k-algebra. A. V. Grishin introduced the concept of a T-space of A ([2], [3]); namely, a linear subspace of A that is invariant under the natural action of the transformation monoid T of all k-algebra endomorphisms of A. A T-space of A that is also an ideal of A is called a T-ideal of A. For any $H \subseteq A$, the smallest T-space of A containing H shall be denoted by H^S, while the smallest T-ideal of A that contains H shall be denoted by H^T. The set of all T-spaces of A forms a lattice under the inclusion ordering, and we shall denote this lattice by $L(A)$.

We shall let $k\langle X\rangle_0$ and $k\langle X\rangle$ denote the free, respectively free unitary, associative k-algebras on a set X. Our interest in this paper shall be the study of the maximal elements in the lattices $L(k\langle X\rangle_0)$ and $L(k\langle X\rangle)$ when X is a nonempty set. We show that if k is infinite, then the unique maximal T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ (that is, there is a maximum T-ideal) is also the unique maximal T-space. We then demonstrate that the story is strikingly different when k is finite. We establish that there is a natural bijection between the sets of maximal T-spaces of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ and $k[x]_0$, which then allows us to focus on the study of the maximal T-spaces of $k[x]_0$. We prove that when k is finite, there are infinitely many maximal T-spaces of $k[x]_0$ (and thus infinitely many maximal T-spaces of $k\langle X\rangle_0$). Our approach requires that we treat the case for $p > 2$ and $p = 2$ separately.

We are able to adapt this analysis to determine that in the case of an infinite field k, $k\langle X\rangle$ has a maximum proper T-ideal, and a maximum proper T-space (which of course contains the maximum proper T-ideal), so the situation is essentially the same as that of the free associative k-algebra over X. In the case of a finite field, there is a slight difference, in that this time, there is a maximum proper T-ideal (as opposed to two maximal proper T-ideals in the non-unitary case). We then go on to prove that there are infinitely many maximal T-spaces of $k\langle X\rangle$ that contain this maximum proper T-ideal (actually, in this case, every maximal T-space contains the maximum T-ideal since the maximum T-ideal is $T^{(2)}$, and the proof of Proposiiton 1.2 is also applicable for T-spaces of $k\langle X\rangle$).

Lemma 1.1. *Let A be a free associative or free commutative associative k-algebra on a nonempty set X. Then every proper T-space (T-ideal) of A is contained in a maximal T-space (T-ideal) of A.*

Proof. The proof for T-ideals is completely analogous to the proof for T-spaces, and we shall present only the argument for T-spaces. Let V be a proper T-space of A. Since A is free on X, $V \cap X = \varnothing$. Let $x \in X$ and consider the sub-partially ordered (poset) P of $L(A)$ whose elements are the T-spaces of A that do not contain x but do contain V. Zorn's lemma may be applied to P, so we conclude that P has maximal elements. Let M be any maximal element of P. If M is not maximal in $L(A)$, then there exists a proper T-space U of A that contains M, so $U \notin P$ and thus $x \in U$. Since $x \in U$ and A is free on X, we conclude that $U = A$, which contradicts our choice of U. Thus M is maximal in $L(A)$. $\square$

We shall have frequent occasion to consider sets X and Y with $X \subseteq Y$. In general, for $U \subseteq k\langle X\rangle_0$, when required for clarity, we shall write U_X^T, rather than U^T, to denote the T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ that is generated by U.

Lemma 1.2. *Let X and Y be nonempty sets with $X \subseteq Y$, and let $U \subseteq k\langle X\rangle_0$. Then $U_X^T = U_Y^T \cap k\langle X\rangle_0$.*

Proof. Since every algebra endomorphism of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ extends to an algebra endomorphism of $k\langle Y\rangle_0$, it follows that $U_X^T \subseteq U_Y^T$, and thus $U_X^T \subseteq U_Y^T \cap k\langle X\rangle_0$. Accordingly, it suffices to prove that $U_Y^T \cap k\langle X\rangle_0 \subseteq U_X^T$. Let $u \in U_Y^T \cap k\langle X\rangle_0$. Then there exist $\alpha_i \in k$, $f_i\colon k\langle Y\rangle_0 \to k\langle Y\rangle_0$, $u_i \in U$, and $y_i, z_i \in k\langle Y\rangle_0 \cup \{1\}$ with $u = \sum \alpha_i y_i f_i(u_i) z_i$. Let $g\colon k\langle Y\rangle_0 \to k\langle Y\rangle_0$ be the map determined by $x \mapsto x$ if $x \in X$, while $x \mapsto 0$ if $x \in Y - X$. As well, let $\iota\colon k\langle X\rangle_0 \to k\langle Y\rangle_0$ be the map determined by $\iota(x) = x$ for each $x \in X$. Then since $u \in k\langle X\rangle_0$, we have $u = g(u) = \sum \alpha_i g(y_i) g\circ f_i(u_i) g(z_i)$, and since $u_i \in U$, we have $u_i = \iota(u_i)$, so $u = \sum \alpha_i g(y_i) g\circ f_i\circ\iota(u_i) g(z_i)$. Since $g\circ f_i\circ\iota\colon k\langle X\rangle_0 \to k\langle X\rangle_0$, $u_i \in U$, and $g(y_i), g(z_i) \in k\langle X\rangle_0$ for every i, it follows that $u \in U$. $\square$

Proposition 1.1. *Let X and Y be nonempty sets with $X \subseteq Y$. The map $U \mapsto U_Y^T$ from the lattice of T-ideals of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ into the lattice of T-ideals of $k\langle Y\rangle_0$ is injective, and moreover, if U_Y^T is a maximal T-ideal in $k\langle Y\rangle_0$, then U is a maximal T-ideal in $k\langle X\rangle_0$. If X is infinite, then the map is surjective and thus a lattice isomorphism.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.2, the map is injective. Suppose that U is a T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ such that U_Y^T is a maximal T-ideal of $k\langle Y\rangle_0$. Let M be a maximal T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ with $U \subseteq M$. By Lemma 1.2, $M = M_Y^T \cap k\langle X\rangle_0$, so $M_Y^T \neq k\langle Y\rangle_0$. Since $U \subseteq M$, we have $U_Y^T \subseteq M_Y^T$ and U_Y^T is maximal, so $U_Y^T = M_Y^T$. Thus $U = U_Y^T \cap k\langle X\rangle_0 = M_Y^T \cap k\langle X\rangle_0 = M$, and so U is maximal, as required. Finally, suppose that X is infinite, and let V be a T-ideal of $k\langle Y\rangle_0$. Then $U = V \cap k\langle X\rangle_0$ is a T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ and $U_Y^T \subseteq V$. We claim that $V \subseteq U_Y^T$. Let $f \in V$. Then since X is infinite, there exists a k-algebra automorphism σ of $k\langle Y\rangle_0$ such that $\sigma(f) \in k\langle X\rangle_0$. Since V is a T-ideal of $k\langle Y\rangle_0$, we have $\sigma(f) \in V$ and thus $\sigma(f) \in U$. But then $f = \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(f)) \in U_Y^T$, as required. ☐

Definition 1.1. *For any nonempty set X, let $Z_X = \{\, xy \,\}^T$ if $|X| > 1$, otherwise let $Z_X = \{\, x^2 \,\}^T$, where $X = \{\, x \,\}$.*

Corollary 1.1. *For any nonempty set X, Z_X is a maximal T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle_0$, and if k is infinite, then Z_X is in fact the maximum T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle_0$.*

Proof. Let Y be an infinite set with $X \subseteq Y$. By Theorem 3 of [4], Z_Y is a maximal T-ideal of $k\langle Y\rangle_0$ and in fact, is the maximum T-ideal of $k\langle Y\rangle_0$ if k is infinite. By Lemma 1.2, $Z_X = Z_Y \cap k\langle X\rangle_0$, and thus by Proposition 1.1, Z_X is a maximal T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle_0$. If k is infinite and U is a maximal T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle_0$, then $U_Y^T \subseteq Z_Y$ and so $U = U_Y^T \cap k\langle X\rangle_0 \subseteq Z_Y \cap k\langle X\rangle_0 = Z_X$. As U is maximal, we must have $U = Z_X$. ☐

In the proof of Corollary 1.1, it was observed that $Z_X = Z_Y \cap k\langle X\rangle_0$. Consequently, in a bid to simplify notation, from now on for any nonempty set X, we shall write Z in place of Z_X when no confusion can result from doing so.

Definition 1.2. *Let X be any nonempty set. In $k\langle X\rangle_0$, if $|X| = 1$, let $T^{(2)} = \{\, 0 \,\}$, otherwise let $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ and set $T^{(2)} = \{\, [x, y] \,\}^{T_X}$.*

Definition 1.3. *Let X be a nonempty set, and let k be a finite field of order q. For any $x \in X$, let $W_0 = T^{(2)} + \{\, x - x^q \,\}_X^T$.*

Theorem 3 of [4] also implies that if X is infinite and k is finite of order q, then W_0 is a maximal T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle_0$, and furthermore, that Z and W_0 are the only maximal T-ideals of $k\langle X\rangle_0$.

We remark that when we are considering nonempty sets $X \subseteq Y$ and we refer to $T^{(2)}$, we shall rely on the context to determine whether we mean $T^{(2)} \subseteq k\langle X\rangle_0$ or $T^{(2)} \subseteq k\langle Y\rangle_0$.

Corollary 1.2. *Let k be a finite field of order q, and let X be a nonempty set. Then Z and W_0 are maximal T-ideals of $k\langle X\rangle_0$, and these are the only maximal T-ideals of $k\langle X\rangle_0$.*

Proof. Let Y be an infinite set containg X. We observe that for $x \in X$, $(T^{(2)} + \{\, x - x^q \,\}_X^T)_Y^T = (T^{(2)})_Y^T + (\{\, x - x^q \,\}_X^T)_Y^T = T^{(2)} + \{\, x - x^q \,\}_Y^T$. By Theorem 3 of [4] for countably infinite Y in combination with Proposition 1.1 for arbitrary infinite Y, $T^{(2)} + \{\, x - x^q \,\}_Y^T$ is maximal in $k\langle Y\rangle_0$. Thus $T^{(2)} + \{\, x - x^q \,\}_X^T$

is maximal in $k\langle X\rangle_0$. Now, if U is maximal in $k\langle X\rangle_0$, then U_Y^T is contained in either Z_Y, in which case $U \subseteq Z_Y \cap k\langle X\rangle_0 = Z_X$ and thus $U = Z_X$, or else U_Y^T is contained in $T^{(2)} + \{\, x - x^q \,\}_Y^T$, in which case U is contained in $(T^{(2)} + \{\, x - x^q \,\}_Y^T) \cap k\langle X\rangle_0 = T^{(2)} + \{\, x - x^q \,\}_X^T = W_0$ and so $U = W_0$. ☐

Proposition 1.2. *Let X denote any nonempty set. Then every maximal T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ contains $T^{(2)}$.*

Proof. There is nothing to prove if $|X| = 1$, so suppose that $|X| > 1$. Let U be a maximal T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$, and suppose that U does not contain $T^{(2)}$. Then $U + T^{(2)} = k\langle X\rangle_0$, and so for any $x \in X$, $x = f + g$ for some essential $f \in U$ and essential $g \in T^{(2)}$. But then g depends only on x, and so $g = 0$. Thus $x \in U$, which means that $U = k\langle X\rangle_0$. Since this is not the case, it follows that $T^{(2)} \subseteq U$. ☐

Proposition 1.3. *Let X denote any nonempty set. If k is infinite, then every proper T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ is contained in Z.*

Proof. Let V be a T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ that is not contained in Z. Then there exists $f \in V$ with nonzero linear term. Since k is infinite, each multihomogeneous component of f belongs to V, so V contains some $x \in X$. Thus $V = k\langle X\rangle_0$. ☐

2 k a finite field

We now turn our attention to the case when k is a finite field, say of order q and characteristic p. Let X be a nonempty set. It will be useful to introduce the following notion.

Definition 2.1. *Let k be a finite field of order q. Then for monomials $u_i \in k\langle X\rangle_0$ and $\alpha_i \in k$, $1 \le i \le t$, $f = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i u_i$ shall be said to be q-homogeneous if for each $x \in X$ and each i, j with $1 \le i, j \le t$, $\deg_x(u_i) \equiv \deg_x(u_j) \pmod{q-1}$.*

The usual Vandermonde (homogeneity) argument can then be used to prove that if k is a field of order q and V is a T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$, then each q-homogeneous component of each element of V is also an element of V.

It was proven in Corollary 1.2 that Z and W_0 are the only maximal T-ideals of $k\langle X\rangle_0$.

Proposition 2.1. *Z and W_0 are maximal T-spaces of $k\langle X\rangle_0$.*

Proof. First, suppose that V is a T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ with $Z \subsetneq V$, and let $f \in V - Z$. Since $Z \subset V$, we may assume that f is linear, say $f = \sum_i \alpha_i x_i$ for some $x_i \in X$ and $\alpha_i \in k^* = k - \{\, 0 \,\}$. Let $x \in X$ be one of the variables that appears in f, and let $\sigma\colon k\langle X\rangle_0 \to k\langle X\rangle_0$ be the k-algebra map determined by sending $x \mapsto x$ and $y \mapsto 0$ for all $y \in X - \{\, x \,\}$. Then $\sigma(f)$ is a nonzero scalar multiple of x and thus $x \in V$, establishing that $V = k\langle X\rangle_0$. This proves that Z is a maximal proper T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$.

Now suppose that V is a T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ with $W_0 \subsetneq V$, and let $f \in V - W_0$. We may assume that f is essential, depending on the variables $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in X$. Since $T^{(2)} \subseteq W_0$, we may further assume that f is a linear combination of monomials, each of the form $x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$. Additionally, since $x^q - x \in W_0$, for any $x \in X$, we may assume that each exponent $i_j < q$. Now, of all such elements of $V - W_0$, let us suppose that f is such that the number of different monomials is least. We claim that f is (a scalar multiple of) a monomial. For suppose not. Then for some index i, there are two monomial summands of f in which the degree of x_i is different. Again, since $T^{(2)} \subseteq W_0$, we may assume that $i = n$. For each j such that there is a monomial in which the degree of x_n is j, let g_j denote the sum of all such monomials (with their coefficients) with x_n^j factored out, otherwise let $g_j = 0$. Then $f = \sum_{i=1}^r g_i x_n^i$, where $r < q$ is the degree of x_n in f. We may apply the Vandermonde argument (see for example the proof of Proposition 4.2.3 of [1]) to conclude that for each i with $g_i \neq 0$, $g_i x_n^i \in V$. Since there are at least two distinct values of i with $g_i \neq 0$, we have a contradiction to the choice of f. Thus there exists a monomial $x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \in V$, and so there exists t such that for $x = x_1$, $x^t \in V$. Again, since $x^q - x \in W_0$, we may assume that $t < q$. If p divides t, say $t = lp^s$ with $(l, p) = 1$, then the substitution $x \mapsto x^{p^{m-s}}$, where $q = p^m$, establishes that $(x^q)^l \in V$ and so $x^l \in V$, and we note that $l < t$, so in such a case, t is not minimal with respect to $x^t \in V$. On the other hand, suppose that $(t, p) = 1$. Then $(x + x^2)^t = \sum_{i=0}^t \binom{t}{i} x^{t+i} \in V$, and the coefficient of x^{t+1} is $\binom{t}{1} = t \neq 0$. Note that for $0 \leq i \leq t < q$, $t + i < t + q = t + 1 + (q - 1)$, so no other power of x that appears in the expansion of $(x + x^2)^t$ has exponent congruent to $t + 1 \pmod{q-1}$. Thus we may apply the Vandermonde argument to conclude that $x^{t+1} \in V$. Suppose now that t is minimal with respect to $x^t \in V$. Then by our earlier observation, $(t, p) = 1$, and so there exists $s \geq 0$ with $sp < t < (s + 1)p$. We may repeatedly apply the above observation to conclude that $x^{(s+1)p} \in V$. But then the substitution $x \mapsto x^{p^{m-1}}$ establishes that $x^{s+1} \in V$. By the minimality of t, we then have $sp < t \leq s + 1$, and thus $s = 0$, which yields $x \in V$. Thus $V = k\langle X\rangle_0$. ☐

Unlike the situation for an infinite field, when k is finite, not every maximal T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ is a maximal T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle_0$, as we shall soon see.

We shall denote the free commutative associative algebra on X by $k[X]_0$. Note that $k[X]_0 \simeq k\langle X\rangle_0 / T^{(2)}$.

Proposition 2.2. *The map $u \in k\langle X\rangle_0$ to $u + T^{(2)} \in k\langle X\rangle_0/T^{(2)} \simeq k[X]_0$ induces a lattice isomorphism between the lattice of T-spaces of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ that contain $T^{(2)}$ and the lattice of T-spaces of $k[X]_0$.*

Proof. Since $T^{(2)}$ is a T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle_0$, for every algebra endomorphism f of $k\langle X\rangle_0$, there exists a unique algebra endomorphism $\overline{f}$ of $k[X]_0$ with $\pi_2 \circ f = \overline{f} \circ \pi_2$, where $\pi_2 : k\langle X\rangle_0 \to k\langle X\rangle_0/T^{(2)} \simeq k[X]_0$ is given by $\pi_2(u) = u + T^{(2)}$. Conversely, since $k\langle X\rangle_0$ is the free associative algebra on the set of generators X, it follows that for every algebra homomorphism $\overline{f} : k[X]_0 \to k[X]_0$, there exists an algebra homomorphism $f : k\langle X\rangle_0 \to k\langle X\rangle_0$ with $\pi_2 \circ f = \overline{f} \circ \pi_2$. Thus if U is a T-space of $k[X]_0$, then $\pi_2^{-1}(U)$ is a T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ that contains $T^{(2)}$.

As well, if $U \subseteq k\langle X\rangle_0$ is a T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$, then $\pi_2(U)$ is a T-space of $k[X]_0$, and $\pi_2^{-1}(\pi_2(U)) = U + T^{(2)}$, so if $T^{(2)} \subseteq U$, $\pi_2^{-1}(\pi_2(U)) = U$. This establishes the map given by $u \mapsto u + T^{(2)}$ determines a bijective mapping between the set of all T-spaces of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ that contain $T^{(2)}$ and the set of all T-spaces of $k[X]_0$, and the lattice properties of this mapping follow immediately. $\square$

Corollary 2.1. *The maximal T-spaces of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ are in bijective correspondence with the maximal T-spaces of $k[X]_0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, the lattice of T-spaces of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ that contain $T^{(2)}$ is isomorrphic to the lattice of T-spaces of $k[X]_0$, and by Proposition 1.2, every maximal T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ contains $T^{(2)}$. $\square$

Thus the study of the maximal T-spaces of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ can be reduced (if one can think of this as a reduction) to the study of the maximal T-spaces of $k[X]_0$.

Recall that for any k-algebra A, $L(A)$ denotes the lattice of all T-spaces of A. We shall let $M(A)$ denote the set of maximal T-spaces of A. Note that by Lemma 1.1, if A is a free associative (commutative or otherwise) k-algebra, then $M(A)$ is not empty.

Let $x \in X$, and let $\pi: k\langle X\rangle_0 \to k[x]_0 = xk[x]$, the free associative algebra on the generator x, denote the algebra homomorphism determined by mapping each $z \in X$ to x. Then for each T-space U of $k\langle X\rangle_0$, $\pi(U)$ is a T-space of $k[x]_0 \subseteq k\langle X\rangle_0$, and $\pi(U) \subseteq U$. We note that $\pi: k\langle X\rangle_0 \to k[x]_0$ induces a poset map from $L(k\langle X\rangle_0)$ to $L(k[x]_0)$ (which we shall also denote by π). Now, there is a natural poset map $\omega: L(k[x]_0) \to L(k\langle X\rangle_0)$ given by $\omega(V) = V^S$, where V is a T-space of $k[x]_0$ and V^S is the T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ that is generated by $V \subseteq k[x]_0 \subseteq k\langle X\rangle_0$. Evidently, $\omega(\pi(V)) \subseteq V$ for every $V \in L(k\langle X\rangle_0)$, while $\pi(\omega(V)) = V$ for every $V \in L(k[x]_0)$. In particular, we note that π is surjective.

Lemma 2.1. *Let V be a T-space of $k[x]_0$. Then the subset of $L(k\langle X\rangle_0)$ that consists of all T-spaces Y of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ for which $\pi(Y) = V$ is an interval with minimum element $\omega(V)$.*

Proof. First, we prove that the set is a sublattice of $L(k\langle X\rangle_0)$. Let $U, W \in L(k\langle X\rangle_0)$ with $\pi(U) = \pi(W) = V$. Then $V \subseteq U$ and $V \subseteq W$, so $V \subseteq U \cap W$. Thus $V \subseteq \pi(U \cap W) \subseteq \pi(U) = V$ and so $\pi(U \cap W) = V$. As well, $\pi(U + W) = \pi(U) + \pi(W) = V + V = V$. Thus the set is a sublattice of $L(k\langle X\rangle_0)$. Moreover, since $V \subseteq U$, it follows that $\omega(V) = V^S \subseteq U$. As $V = \pi(\omega(V))$, we see that $\omega(V)$ is the minimum element of the sublattice. Finally, since the sum of all T-spaces in the set is again a T-space in the set, it follows that the set has a maximum element, and so is an interval. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *If $U \in M(k\langle X\rangle_0)$, then $\pi(U) \in M(k[x]_0)$.*

Proof. Let $U \in M(k\langle X\rangle_0)$. Since $\pi(U) \subseteq U$, it follows that $\pi(U)$ is a proper T-space of $k[x]_0$, and thus by Lemma 1.1, there exists $W \in M(k[x]_0)$ with $\pi(U) \subseteq W$. Consider $\pi(U + \omega(W)) = \pi(U) + \pi(\omega(W)) = \pi(U) + W \subseteq W$, so $U + \omega(W) \neq k\langle X\rangle_0$. Since U was maximal in $k\langle X\rangle_0$, we conclude that

$U+\omega(W)=U$, so $\omega(W)\subseteq U$. But then $W=\pi(\omega(W))\subseteq\pi(U)\subseteq W$ and so $W=\pi(U)$, as required. ☐

Proposition 2.3. *The map* $\pi\colon L(k\langle X\rangle_0)\to L(k[x]_0)$ *induces a bijection from* $M(k\langle X\rangle_0)$ *onto* $M(k[x]_0)$, *and so every maximal* T*-space of* $k\langle X\rangle_0$ *is uniquely determined by its one-variable polynomials.*

Proof. Let U be a maximal T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$, and let $V=\omega(\pi(U))$, so $V\subseteq U$. Let U' denote a maximal T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ containing V, and suppose that $U'\neq U$. Then $x\in U+U'$, say $x=f+g$ for some essential $f\in U$ and essential $g\in U'$; that is, $f,g\in k[x]_0$. But then $f\in\pi(U)\subseteq V\subseteq U'$ and so $x=f+g\in U'$. However, this implies that $U'=k\langle X\rangle_0$, which is not the case. Thus U is the only maximal T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ that contains $\pi(U)$. This establishes that the restriction of π to $M(k\langle X\rangle_0)$ is injective. By Lemma 2.2, $\pi(U)\in M(k[x]_0)$ if $U\in M(k\langle X\rangle_0)$, so π induces an injective function from $M(k\langle X\rangle_0)$ into $M(k[x]_0)$. It remains to prove that $\pi\colon M(k\langle X\rangle_0)\to M(k[x]_0)$ is surjective. Let $V\in M(k[x]_0)$. By Lemma 2.1, there is a T-space U of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ that is maximum with respect to the property $\pi(U)=V$. We claim that $U\in M(k\langle X\rangle_0)$. For if not, then there exists $W\in M(k\langle X\rangle_0)$ with $U\subsetneq W$, and thus $V=\pi(U)\subsetneq\pi(W)$. Since V was maximal in $L(k[x]_0)$, it follows that $\pi(W)=k[x]_0$ and so $x\in\pi(W)\subseteq W$. But then $W=k\langle X\rangle_0$, which contradicts our choice of W. Thus $\pi\colon M(k\langle X\rangle_0)\to M(k[x]_0)$ is surjective. ☐

As a result of this observation, we shall focus in the next two sections on the study of the maximal T-spaces of $k[x]_0$. But first, we wish to briefly discuss some questions that remain unanswered at the time of writing.

For a given maximal T-space U of $k\langle X\rangle_0$, it is not clear how the T-space generated by $T^{(2)}$ and the one-variable polynomials in U compares to U. In general, they will not be equal. For example, $\pi(Z)$ is equal to $x^2\,k[x]$. If k is a finite field of characteristic 2, we claim that $xy\notin T^{(2)}+\omega(\pi(Z))$. Suppose to the contrary that $xy\in T^{(2)}+\omega(\pi(Z))$. Then $xy=\sum_j\alpha_j u_j^{i_j}+v$ for some $\alpha_j\in k$, $u_j\in k\langle X\rangle_0$, and $v\in T^{(2)}$, where for each j, $i_j\geq 2$. We may assume that v and each u_j depend only on x and y. For each j, if $i_j>2$, then each monomial of $u_j^{i_j}$ has degree at least 3. Furthermore, even if $i_j=2$, xy can only appear in u_j^2 if u_j has linear term $\beta_j x+\gamma_j y$ with $\beta_j,\gamma_j\neq 0$. However, for any such u_j, $u_j=\beta_j x+\gamma_j y+u_j'$, where each monomial in u_j' has degree at least 2, and in such a case (since k has characteristic 2), $u_j^2=\beta_j^2x^2+\gamma_j^2y^2+(u_j')^2+\beta_j\gamma_j[x,y]+\beta_j[x,u_j']+\gamma_j[y,u_j']$ with all monomials of $(u_j')^2$, $[x,u_j']$, and $[y,u_j']$ having degree at least 3. Let S denote the set of all indices j for which $i_j=2$ and u_j has linear term containing both x and y. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
xy &= \sum_j \alpha_j u_j^{i_j} + v \\
&= (\sum_{j\in S} \alpha_j \beta_j^2) x^2 + (\sum_{j\in S} \alpha_j \gamma_j^2) y^2 + (\sum_{j\in S} \alpha_j \beta_j \gamma_j)[x,y] \\
&\qquad + \sum_{j\in S} \alpha_j ((u_j')^2 + \beta_j [x,u_j'] + \gamma_j [y,u_j']) + \sum_{j\notin S} \alpha_j u_j^{i_j} + v.
\end{aligned}
$$

As neither x^2 nor y^2 is a monomial appearing in an element of $T^{(2)}$, and each monomial of $\sum_{j\in S} \alpha_j((u_j')^2 - \beta_j[x,u_j'] - \gamma_j[y,u_j']) + \sum_{j\notin S} \alpha_j u_j^{i_j}$ has degree at least 3, it follows that $(\sum_{j\in S} \alpha_j\beta_j^2)x^2 + (\sum_{j\in S} \alpha_j\gamma_j^2)y^2 = 0$. Thus

$$
xy = (\sum_{j\in S} \alpha_j \beta_j \gamma_j)[x,y] + \sum_{j\in S} \alpha_j ((u_j')^2 - \beta_j [x,u_j'] - \gamma_j [y,u_j']) + \sum_{j\notin S} \alpha_j u_j^{i_j} + v.
$$

Furthermore, as xy can only appear as a summand in v as a term in $[x,y]$, it follows by the same degree considerations that $xy = \gamma[x,y]$ for some $\gamma \in k$. As this is not possible, we conclude that $xy \notin T^{(2)} + (\pi(Z))^S = \omega(\pi(Z))$, and so $T^{(2)} + \omega(\pi(Z)) \subsetneq Z$ when k is any finite field of characteristic 2.

On the other hand, since $2xy = (x+y)^2 - x^2 - y^2 - [y,x]$, $xy \in \omega(\pi(Z))$ when k is a finite field of characteristic $p > 2$.

Furthermore, for any T-space V of $k[x]_0$, we might ask how the maximum T-space M_V in $k\langle X\rangle_0$ that has image V compares to $(\pi^{-1}(V))^S$. In general, we expect $\pi^{-1}(V))^S$ to be larger than M_V; equivalently, $\pi(\pi^{-1}(V)^S)$ is larger than V. For example, in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]_0$, consider the T-space V that is generated by $x + x^2$. Then $x + xy \in \pi^{-1}(V)$, and so $x \in \pi^{-1}(V)^S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2\langle X\rangle_0$, which means that $\pi^{-1}(V)^S = \mathbb{F}_2\langle X\rangle_0$. However, $V \subseteq \{\, x + x^2 \,\}^T \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2[x]_0$, and $\{\, x + x^2 \,\}^T$ is a maximal T-ideal of $\mathbb{F}_2[x]_0$.

3 A study of maximal T-spaces of $k[x]_0$ in the case of a finite field of characteristic $p > 2$

In this section, $p > 2$ is a prime and k is a finite field of characteristic p and order q.

Definition 3.1. *For each $n \geq 0$, let $V_n = \{\, x + x^{q^{2^n}} \,\}^S \subseteq k[x]_0$.*

Since $(\alpha u + \beta v) + (\alpha u + \beta v)^{q^{2^n}} = \alpha(u + u^{q^{2^n}}) + \beta(v + v^{q^{2^n}})$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in k$ and any $u, v \in k\langle X\rangle_0$, it follows that $\{\, x^i + x^{iq^{2^n}} \mid i \geq 1 \,\}$ is a k-linear basis for V_n, and thus for each $n \geq 0$, V_n is a proper T-space of $k[x]_0$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $n \geq 0$. Then $x - x^{q^{2^{n+m}}} \in V_n$ for each $m \geq 1$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on m. By definition, $x + x^{q^{2^n}} \in V_n$, and so $x^{q^{2^n}} + (x^{q^{2^n}})^{q^{2^n}} = x^{q^{2^n}} + x^{q^{2^{n+1}}} \in V_n$. Thus $x - x^{q^{2^{n+1}}} = (x + x^{q^{2^n}}) - (x^{q^{2^n}} + x^{q^{2^{n+1}}}) \in V_n$, and so the claim holds for $m = 1$. Suppose now that $m \geq 1$ is such that $x - x^{q^{2^{n+m}}} \in V_n$. Then $x^{q^{2^{n+m}}} - (x^{q^{2^{n+m}}})^{q^{2^{n+m}}} = x^{q^{2^{n+m}}} - x^{q^{2^{n+m+1}}} \in V_n$, and so $x - x^{q^{2^{n+m+1}}} = (x - x^{q^{2^{n+m}}}) + (x^{q^{2^{n+m}}} - x^{q^{2^{n+m+1}}}) \in V_n$, as required. □

Corollary 3.1. *Let $n, m \geq 0$ be such that $n \neq m$. Then $V_n + V_m = k[x]_0$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that for each $n \geq 0$ and each $m \geq 1$, $V_n + V_{n+m} = k[x]_0$. By Proposition 3.1, $x - x^{q^{2^{n+m}}} \in V_n$, and so $2x = (x - x^{q^{2^{n+m}}}) + (x + x^{q^{2^{n+m}}}) \in V_n + V_{n+m}$. Since 2 is invertible in k, it follows that $x \in V_n + V_{n+m}$, and so $V_n + V_{n+m} = k[x]_0$. □

Corollary 3.2. *If k is a finite field of characteristic $p > 2$, then $k[x]_0$ has infinitely many maximal T-spaces.*

Proof. For each $n \geq 0$, let Y_n denote a maximal T-space of $k[x]_0$ that contains V_n. By Corollary 3.1, for $n \neq m$, $Y_n \neq Y_m$. □

4 A study of maximal T-spaces of $k[x]_0$ in the case of a finite field of characteristic 2

Let k be a finite field of order q and characteristic 2. Recall that $\{\, x + x^q \,\}^T$ is a maximal T-ideal and a maximal T-space of $k[x]_0$. Our objective is to establish that there are infinitely many maximal T-spaces of $k[x]_0$, and we first examine the family of T-spaces that were used to establish that there were infinitely many maximal T-spaces of $k[x]_0$ when k was a finite field of characteristic $p > 2$.

Recall that for $n \geq 0$, $V_n = \{\, x + x^{q^{2^n}} \,\}^S$ in $k[x]_0$. In the case $p = 2$, we have $q = 2^m$ for some positive integer m. It is a straightforward inductive argument to show that for every integer $i \geq 1$, $x + x^{2^{im}} \in V_0 = W_0$. In particular, $x + x^{2^{m2^n}} \in W_0$ for every $n \geq 0$, and so $V_n \subseteq W_0$ for every $n \geq 0$.

Thus we shall need to explore other families of T-spaces of $k[x]_0$ if we hope to achieve our objective of showing that $k[x]_0$ contains infinitely many maximal T-spaces.

Definition 4.1. *For each positive integer n, let $W_n = \{\, x + x^q, x^{q^n+1} \,\}^S$ in $k[x]_0$.*

Lemma 4.1. *Let $n \geq 1$. Then for any $u, v \in k[x]_0$, $(u+v)^{q^n+1} = u^{q^n+1} + v^{q^n+1} + u^{q^n}v + uv^{q^n}$.*

Proof. We have $(u+v)^{q^n+1} = (u+v)(u+v)^{q^n} = (u+v)(u^{q^n} + v^{q^n}) = u^{q^n+1} + v^{q^n+1} + u^{q^n}v + uv^{q^n}$. □

Definition 4.2. *For each integer $n \geq 1$, let $L_n(u,v) = u^{q^n}v + uv^{q^n}$ for each $u, v \in k[x]_0$.*

Proposition 4.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then L_n is a bilinear function from $k[x]_0 \times k[x]_0$ to $k[x]_0$.*

Proof. By the symmetry in the definition, it suffices to prove that for every $u_1, u_2, v \in k[x]_0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in k$, $L_n(\alpha u_1 + \beta u_2, v) = \alpha L_n(u_1, v) + \beta L_n(u_2, v)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(\alpha u_1+\beta u_2, v) &= (\alpha u_1 + \beta u_2)^{q^n} v + (\alpha u_1 + \beta u_2) v^{q^n} \\ &= (\alpha^{q^n} u_1^{q^n} + \beta^{q^n} u_2^{q^n}) v + \alpha u_1 v^{q^n} + \beta u_2 v^{q^n} \\ &= \alpha u_1^{q^n} v + \beta u_2^{q^n} v + \alpha u_1 v^{q^n} + \beta u_2 v^{q^n} \\ &= \alpha(u_1^{q^n} v + u_1 v^{q^n}) + \beta(u_2^{q^n} v + u_2 v^{q^n}) \\ &= \alpha L_n(u_1, v) + \beta L_n(u_2, v). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.2. *Let $n \geq 1$. Then the set*

$$\{\, x^i + x^{qi}, x^{(q^n+1)i} \mid i \geq 1 \,\} \cup \{\, x^{q^n i + j} + x^{i + q^n j} \mid i > j \geq 1 \,\}$$

is a linear spanning set for W_n.

Proof. Since $W_n = \{\, x + x^q \,\}^S + \{\, x^{q^n+1} \,\}^S$ and $\{\, x^i + x^{qi} \mid i \geq 1 \,\}$ is a spanning set for $\{\, x + x^q \,\}^S$, it suffices to establish that $\{\, x^{q^n+1} \,\}^S$ is spanned by

$$S = \{\, x^{(q^n+1)i} \mid i \geq 1 \,\} \cup \{\, x^{q^n i + j} + x^{i + q^n j} \mid i > j \geq 1 \,\}.$$

We first show that $S \subseteq \{\, x^{q^n+1} \,\}^S$. First, we observe that for any positive integer i, $x^{i(q^n+1)} \in \{\, x^{q^n+1} \,\}^S$, and for any $i > j \geq 1$, it follows from Lemma 4.1 with $u = x^i$ and $v = x^j$ that $x^{q^n i + j} + x^{i + q^n j} \in \{\, x^{q^n+1} \,\}^S$. Thus $S \subseteq \{\, x^{q^n+1} \,\}^S$. It remains now to prove that $\{\, x^{q^n+1} \,\}^S$ is spanned by S. It suffices to prove that for every $u \in k[x]_0$, u^{q^n+1} is in the k-linear span of S. We prove this by induction on the number of monomials in u. If u is a monomial, the result is immediate. Suppose now that u has $t > 1$ monomial summands, and the result holds for all elements of $k[x]_0$ with fewer than t monomial summands. Then $u = v + \alpha x^i$ for some $v \in k[x]_0$ with $t - 1$ monomial summands, and some integer $i \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in k^* = k - \{\, 0 \,\}$. By Definition 4.2 and Lemma 4.1, $u^{q^n+1} = v^{q^n+1} + (\alpha x^i)^{q^n+1} + L_n(v, \alpha x^i) = v^{q^n+1} + \alpha^2 x^{(q^n+1)i} + L_n(v, \alpha x^i)$. By the induction hypothesis, v^{q^n+1} is in the linear span of S, and $x^{(q^n+1)i} \in S$, while by Proposition 4.1, $L_n(v, \alpha x^i) = \alpha L_n(v, x^i)$, so it suffices to prove that $L_n(v, x^i)$ is in the linear span of S. By Proposition 4.1, it suffices to prove that $L_n(x^j, x^i)$ is in the linear span of S for every $j \geq 1$. In fact, $L_n(x^j, x^i) = (x^j)^{q^n} x^i + x^j (x^i)^{q^n} = x^{i + q^n j} + x^{j + q^n i} \in S$. □

Corollary 4.1. *For any integer $m \geq 1$, W_m is a proper T-space of $k[x]_0$.*

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$, and suppose to the contrary that $W_n = k[x]_0$, so that $x \in W_n$. Then by Proposition 4.2, x is a linear combination of terms of the form $x^i + x^{qi}$,

$i \geq 1$, $x^{(q^n+1)j}$, $j \geq 1$, and $x^{q^n i+j} + x^{i+q^n j}$ where $i > j \geq 1$. Suppose that $x = \sum \alpha_i(x^i + x^{qi}) + \sum \beta_j x^{(q^n+1)j} + \sum \gamma_{i,j}(x^{q^n i+j} + x^{i+q^n j})$, where $\alpha_i, \beta_j, \gamma_{i,j} \in k$. Observe that since $(q, q^n+1) = 1$, in any summand of the form $x^i + x^{qi}$, i is a multiple of q^n+1 if and only if qi is a multiple of q^n+1. Since we may move any such terms to the sum of terms of the form $x^{(q^n+1)j}$, we may assume that in the linear combination $\sum \alpha_i(x^i + x^{qi})$, no monomial of the form $x^{(q^n+1)j}$ appears. Furthermore, $q^n i + j$ is a multiple of $q^n + 1$ if and only if $i \equiv j \pmod{q^n + 1}$ if and only if $i + q^n j$ is a multiple of $q^n + 1$, so we may also assume that no summand of the form $x^{q^n i+j} + x^{i+q^n j}$ contains a summand of the form $x^{(q^n+1)i}$. Thus $\sum \alpha_i(x^i + x^{qi}) + \sum \gamma_{i,j}(x^{q^n i+j} + x^{i+q^n j}) = x + \sum \beta_j x^{(q^n+1)j}$, where in the sum on the left, there is no monomial of the form $x^{(q^n+1)j}$. Thus we must have $\sum \beta_j x^{(q^n+1)j} = 0$, and so $x = \sum \alpha_i(x^i + x^{qi}) + \sum \gamma_{i,j}(x^{q^n i+j} + x^{i+q^n j})$. However, upon evaluation at $x = 1$, this yields $1 = 0$, which is not possible. Thus $x \notin W_n$. ☐

In our search for maximal T-spaces, we wondered what might be said about W_n when n is such that $q^n + 1$ is prime. This avenue of speculation led us to investigate W_n for integers n which are the analogue of the Fermat numbers (precisely the case when $q = 2$). Thus we were led to investigate W_n for positive integers n of the form q^m. By Corollary 4.1, we know that for any $m \geq 0$, W_{q^m} is a proper T-space, and we consider such to be candidates for maximal T-spaces of $k[x]_0$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let n, m be nonnegative integers with $n \neq m$. Then $W_{q^n} + W_{q^m} = k[x]_0$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider only $m > n \geq 0$, and so we prove that for all $n \geq 0$ and $t \geq 1$, $x^{q^{q^{n+t}}+1} \equiv x \pmod{W_{q^n}}$. Let $n \geq 0$, and $t \geq 1$. By Proposition 4.2, we have

$$x^{q^{q^n} i+j} \equiv x^{i+q^{q^n} j} \pmod{W_{q^n}}$$

for every $i, j \geq 1$. In particular, when $i = 1$ and $j = q^{q^{n+t}-q^n}$, we obtain

$$x^{q^{q^n}+q^{q^{n+t}-q^n}} \equiv x^{1+q^{q^n} q^{q^{n+t}-q^n}} \pmod{W_{q^n}};$$

that is, $x^{q^{q^n}+q^{q^n(q^t-1)}} \equiv x^{1+q^{q^{n+t}}} \pmod{W_{q^n}}$. Next, we prove that for any integer $a \geq 2$, $x^{q^{q^n}+q^{q^n a}} \equiv x^{q^{q^n}+q^{q^n(a-2)}} \pmod{W_{q^n}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{q^{q^n}+q^{q^n a}} &= x^{q^{q^n}+q^{q^n} q^{q^n(a-1)}} = x^{q^{q^n}(1+q^{q^n(a-1)})} \\ &\equiv x^{1+q^{q^n(a-1)}} = x^{1+q^{q^n} q^{q^n(a-2)}} \quad \text{since } x \equiv x^q \pmod{W_{q^n}} \\ &\equiv x^{q^{q^n}+q^{q^n(a-2)}} \pmod{W_{q^n}}. \end{aligned}$$

We now apply this result iteratively, starting with $a = q^t - 1$, an odd integer, drawing the conclusion that

$$x^{q^{q^n}+q^{q^n(q^t-1)}} \equiv x^{q^{q^n}+q^{q^n}} = (x^{q^{q^n}})^2 \equiv x^2 \pmod{W_{q^n}}.$$

Thus we have established that $x^{1+q^{q^{n+t}}} \equiv x^{q^{q^{n}}+q^{q^{n}(q^{t}-1)}} \equiv x^2 \pmod{W_{q^n}}$. Since $x^{1+q^{q^{n+t}}} \in W_{q^{n+t}}$, we obtain that $x^2 \in W_{q^n} + W_{q^{n+t}}$. Now, $q = 2^s$ for some $s \geq 1$, and thus we have $x^q = (x^{2^{s-1}})^2 \in W_{q^n} + W_{q^{n+t}}$. Finally, as $x + x^q \in W_{q^n} + W_{q^{n+t}}$, we have $x \in W_{q^n} + W_{q^{n+t}}$, as required. ☐

Corollary 4.2. *There are infinitely many maximal T-spaces of $k[x]_0$ that contain W_0.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.1, W_{q^n} is a proper T-space for every $n \geq 0$. For each $n \geq 0$, let M_n denote some maximal T-space containing W_{q^n}. Now, let $m, n \geq 0$ with $m \neq n$, and suppose that $M_m = N_n$. Then by Proposition 4.3, we would have $M_n = M_n + M_m = k[x]_0$, contradicting the fact that M_n is a maximal T-space of $k[x]_0$. ☐

We have not yet addressed the question as to whether or not W_{q^n} is itself maximal. We shall investigate this issue now, but only in the case where $q = 2$. To begin with, we shall study $W_{2^0} = W_1$. As a consequence of Proposition 4.2, we know that W_1 is a proper T-space of $\mathbb{F}_2\langle X\rangle_0$.

Proposition 4.4. *W_1 is a maximal T-space of $\mathbb{F}_2[x]_0$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]_0 - W_1$. Since $x^i \equiv x^{2i} \pmod{W_1}$ for every positive integer i, we may assume that f has no monomial summands of even degree. Furthermore, observe that $(x + x^2)^3 \in W_1$, and since $(x + x^2)^3 = x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6$ and $x^3, x^6 \in W_1$, it follows that $x^4 + x^5 \in W_1$. Thus $x^5 \equiv x^4 \equiv x^2 \equiv x \pmod{W_1}$. As well, for every integer $n \geq 2$, we have $(x(x + x^n))^3 \in W_1$, so $x^3(x^3 + x^{n+2} + x^{2n+1} + x^{3n}) = x^6 + x^{n+5} + x^{2n+4} + x^{3n+3} \in W_1$, and thus for every integer $n \geq 2$, $x^{n+5} + x^{2(n+2)} \in W_1$. But then $x^{n+5} \equiv x^{2(n+2)} \equiv x^{n+2} \pmod{W_1}$ for every integer $n \geq 2$. That is; for every integer $n \geq 7$, $x^n \equiv x^{n-3} \pmod{W_1}$. It follows now that in f, every monomial of odd degree greater than or equal to 7 can be replaced by one of odd degree at most 5. Finally, since $x^3 \in W_1$, we may assume that f does not have x^3 as a summand, and since $x^5 \equiv x \pmod{W_1}$, we may assume that f does not have x^5 as a summand. Thus $f = x$, and so $W_1 + \{f\}^S = \mathbb{F}_2[x]_0$. ☐

Next, we study W_2. Again, as a result of Proposition 4.2, we know that W_2 is a proper T-space of $\mathbb{F}_2[x]_0$.

Proposition 4.5. *W_2 is a maximal T-space of $\mathbb{F}_2[x]_0$, and moreover, $x^7 \notin W_2$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]_0 - W_2$. Since $x^i \equiv x^{2i} \pmod{W_2}$ for every positive integer i, we may assume that f has no monomial summands of even degree. Furthermore, since for every $j > i \geq 1$, $x^{i+4j} \equiv x^{4i+j}$ and $i + 4j > 4i + j$, and every odd integer greater than 16 can be written in the form $i + 4j$ for some $0 < i < 4 \leq j$, it follows that every monomial in f of (odd) degree greater than 16 can be reduced to an odd degree less than 16. As well, $13 = 4(3) + 1$ and $9 = 4(2) + 1$, so $x^{13} \equiv x^7 \pmod{W_2}$ and $x^9 \equiv x^6 \equiv x^3 \pmod{W_2}$. Moreover, $11 = 4(2) + 3$, so $x^{11} \equiv x^{14} \equiv x^7 \pmod{W_2}$. Thus (since $x^5 \equiv x^{15} \equiv 0 \pmod{W_2}$) we may assume that f is a sum of monomials in $\{ x, x^3, x^7 \}$. Furthermore, we have $19 = 4(4) + 3$, $23 = 4(5) + 3$, $4(3) + 5 = 17 = 4(4) + 1$, $27 = 4(6) + 3$, and $31 = 4(7)+3$, so $x^{19} \equiv x^{16} \equiv x \pmod{W_2}$, $x^{23} \equiv x^{17} \equiv x^8 \equiv x \pmod{W_2}$, $x^{27} \equiv x^{18} \equiv x^9 \equiv x^3 \pmod{W_2}$, and $x^{31} \equiv x^{19} \equiv x \pmod{W_2}$. Finally, $21 = 4(5) + 1$ and so $x^{21} = x^{4(5)+1} \equiv x^9 \equiv x^3 \pmod{W_2}$. We shall apply these observations as needed below.

Case 1: $f = x^3$. Observe that $W_1 + \{ x^5 \}^S = W_2 + \{ x^3 \}^S$. It was observed in the proof of Proposition 4.4 that $x^5 \equiv x \pmod{W_1}$, so $x^5 \notin W_1$. By Proposition 4.4, $W_1 + \{ x^5 \}^S = \mathbb{F}_2[x]_0$, so $W_2 + \{ x^3 \}^S = \mathbb{F}_2[x]_0$.

Case 2: $f = x^7$. We have $0 \equiv (x+x^2)^7 = x^7+x^8+x^9+x^{10}+x^{11}+x^{12}+x^{13}+x^{14} \equiv x \pmod{W_2 + \{ x^7 \}^S}$, so $x \in W_2 + \{ x^7 \}$. In particular, $x^7 \notin W_2$.

Case 3: $f = x+x^3$. Then $0 \equiv (x+x^2)+(x+x^2)^3 = (x+x^2)+x^3+x^4+x^5+x^6 \equiv x^4 \equiv x \pmod{W_2 + \{ x + x^3 \}^S}$, so $x \in W_2 + \{ x + x^3 \}^S$.

Case 4: $f = x + x^7$. We have $(x + x^2) + (x + x^2)^7 \in W_2 + \{ x + x^7 \}^S$, and since $x + x^2 \in W_2$, it follows that $(x + x^2)^7 \in W_2 + \{ x + x^7 \}^S$. As $(x + x^2)^7 = x^7 + x^8 + x^9 + x^{10} + x^{11} + x^{12} + x^{13} + x^{14} \equiv x \pmod{W_2}$, it follows that $x \in W_2 + \{ x + x^7 \}^S$.

Case 5: $f = x^3 + x^7$. Modulo $W_2 + \{ x^3 + x^7 \}^S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\equiv (x + x^5)^3 + (x + x^5)^7 \\ &= x^3 + x^7 + x^{11} + x^{15} + x^7 + x^{11} + x^{15} + x^{19} + x^{23} + x^{27} + x^{31} + x^{35} \\ &\equiv x^7 + x + x + x^3 + x \equiv x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $x \in W_2 + \{ x^3 + x^7 \}^S$.

Case 6: $f = x + x^3 + x^7$. Then $(x + x^3) + (x + x^3)^3 + (x + x^3)^7 \in W_2 + \{ f \}^S$. Modulo W_2, we have $(x+x^3)+(x+x^3)^3+(x+x^3)^7 = x+x^3+x^3+x^5+x^7+x^9+x^7+x^9+x^{11}+x^{13}+x^{15}+x^{17}+x^{19}+x^{21} = x+x^5+x^{11}+x^{13}+x^{15}+x^{17}+x^{19}+x^{21} \equiv x+x^{11}+x^{13}+x^{17}+x^{19}+x^{21} \equiv x+x^3 \pmod{W_2}$, and so $x+x^3 \in W_2+\{ f \}^S$. But then $W_2+\{ x+x^3 \}^S \subseteq W_2+\{ f \}^S$, and so by Case 3, $W_2+\{ f \}^S = k\langle X\rangle_0$.

This completes the case-by-case analysis, and thus W_2 is a maximal T-space. $\square$

While we have not yet determined the status of W_{2^n} for $n > 1$, we do know that it is not necessarily the case that the T-space $\{ x + x^2, x^p \}^S$ is proper for every prime p. In fact, as we now show, $\{ x + x^2, x^7 \}^S = \mathbb{F}_2\langle X\rangle_0$. We remark that since $q = 2$ in this discussion, q-homogeneity is a non-condition since $q - 1 = 1$.

For convenience, we shall let $P = \{ x + x^2, x^7 \}^S$.

For any $i, j \geq 1$, $(x^i + x^j)^7 - x^{7i} - x^{7j} \in P$. Since $\binom{7}{t} \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ for every t with $0 \leq t \leq 7$, we have

$$x^{6i+j} + x^{5i+2j} + x^{4i+3j} + x^{3i+4j} + x^{2i+5j} + x^{i+6j} \in P \tag{1}$$

for all $i, j \geq 1$. In (1), set $i = j + 1$ to obtain

$$x^{7j+6} + x^{7j+5} + x^{7j+4} + x^{7j+3} + x^{7j+2} + x^{7j+1} \in P \tag{2}$$

for all $j \geq 1$. Then in (2), set $j = 1$, $j = 2$, $j = 3$, and $j = 4$, respectively, and use everywhere possible the fact that for every $t \geq 1$, $x^t \equiv x^{2t} \pmod{P}$ to obtain

$$x + x^3 + x^5 + x^9 + x^{11} + x^{13} \in P \tag{3}$$

$$x + x^5 + x^9 + x^{15} + x^{17} + x^{19} \in P \tag{4}$$

$$x^3 + x^{11} + x^{13} + x^{23} + x^{25} + x^{27} \in P \tag{5}$$

$$x + x^{15} + x^{17} + x^{29} + x^{31} + x^{33} \in P \tag{6}$$

Next, set $i = j + 2$ in (1) to obtain

$$x^{7j+12} + x^{7j+10} + x^{7j+8} + x^{7j+6} + x^{7j+4} + x^{7j+2} \in P \tag{7}$$

for all $j \geq 1$, then in (7), set $j = 1$ and $j = 3$, respectively, to obtain

$$x^9 + x^{11} + x^{13} + x^{15} + x^{17} + x^{19} \in P \tag{8}$$

$$x^{23} + x^{25} + x^{27} + x^{29} + x^{31} + x^{33} \in P \tag{9}$$

From (4) and (8), we obtain that

$$x + x^5 + x^{11} + x^{13} \in P \tag{10}$$

and then from (3) and (10) we get

$$x^3 + x^9 \in P. \tag{11}$$

As well, from (5) and (9) we obtain

$$x^3 + x^{11} + x^{13} + x^{29} + x^{31} + x^{33} \in P \tag{12}$$

and then from (6) and (12) we get

$$x + x^3 + x^{11} + x^{13} + x^{15} + x^{17} \in P. \tag{13}$$

Then (13) and (4) gives

$$x^3 + x^5 + x^9 + x^{11} + x^{13} + x^{19} \in P. \tag{14}$$

By (11), we have $x^3 + x^9 \in P$, so from (14) we now obtain

$$x^5 + x^{11} + x^{13} + x^{19} \in P. \tag{15}$$

Now (15) and (10) yield

$$x + x^{19} \in P, \tag{16}$$

so $x \equiv x^{19} \pmod{P}$. Now from (11), we have

$$(x^i + x^j)^3 + (x^i + x^j)^9 - x^{3i} - x^{3j} - x^{9i} - x^{9j} \in P,$$

and so

$$x^{2i+j} + x^{i+2j} + x^{8i+j} + x^{i+8j} \in P \tag{17}$$

for all $i, j \geq 1$. Set $i = j + 1$ in (17) to get

$$x^{3j+2} + x^{3j+1} + x^{9j+8} + x^{9j+1} \in P \tag{18}$$

for all $j \geq 1$. In (18), set $j = 2$ and $j = 3$, respectively, to get (note that $x^7, x^{35} \in P$)

$$x + x^{13} + x^{19} \in P \tag{19}$$

$$x^5 + x^{11} \in P. \tag{20}$$

From (16) and (19), we obtain $x^{13} \in P$, and this, together with (20) and (10), gives $x \in P$.

Thus for $p = 3, 5$ (the first two Fermat primes), $W_1 = \{\, x + x^2, x^3 \,\}^S$ and $W_2 = \{\, x + x^2, x^5 \,\}^S$ are maximal T-spaces, while for 7, the first odd non-Fermat prime, we have $\{\, x + x^2, x^7 \,\}^S = \mathbb{F}_2\langle X\rangle_0$. There are many interesting questions that arise from this exploration. For example, is it true that $\{\, x + x^2, x^p \,\}^S$ is a maximal T-space for every Fermat prime p? If so, are the Fermat primes the only primes for which $\{\, x + x^2, x^p \,\}^S$ is maximal? For $n > 1$, if W_{2^n} is not maximal, can one describe the maximal T-spaces that contain it?

5 Summary of the nonunitary case

Theorem 5.1. *For any field k, and any nonempty set X, the following hold.*

- *(i) Z is a maximal T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle_0$, and if k is infinite, Z is the maximum T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle_0$. If k is finite of order q, then $k\langle X\rangle_0$ has exactly one other maximal T-ideal; namely $W_0 = T^{(2)} + \{\, x - x^q \,\}^T$.*
- *(ii) Every maximal T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle_0$ is a maximal T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$.*
- *(iii) If k is infinite, then Z is the only maximal T-space of $k\langle X\rangle_0$.*
- *(iv) If k is finite, then $k\langle X\rangle_0$ has infinitely many maximal T-spaces.*

Proof. (i) was proven in Theorem 3 of [4] for the case when X is infinite, and in Corollary 1.1 when X is finite and k is infinite, and in Corollary 1.2 when both X and k are finite. (ii) follows from Proposition 2.1, and (iii) follows from Proposition 1.3. Finally, (iv) follows from Corollary 2.1 together with Corollary 3.2 for the case of characteristic $p > 2$, and by Corollary 4.2 for the case of characteristic 2. □

6 The unitary case

Let k be an infinite field, and let X be a nonempty set. Then $k\langle X\rangle$ has a maximum T-ideal; namely $T^{(2)}$. Set $Y = T^{(2)} + \{\, x^{char(k)} \,\}^S$, where in the characteristic zero case, we interpret x^0 as 1. Note that in every case we have $k \subseteq Y$.

Proposition 6.1. *If k is an infinite field, then Y is a maximum proper T-space of $k\langle X\rangle$.*

Proof. We are to prove that every proper T-space of $k\langle X\rangle$ is contained in Y. Suppose that V is a T-space of $k\langle X\rangle$ that is not contained in Y. Let $f \in V - Y$ be essential (that is, every variable that appears in any monomial of f appears in every monomial of f), say on variables $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t$. Since k is infinite, each homogeneous component of f belongs to V, and so we may assume that $f = \alpha x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_t^{i_t} + u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$ for some positive integers $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t$ and some $u(x_1, \dots, x_t) \in T^{(2)}$. If $char(k) = p > 0$, and every i_j divisible by p, then $f \in Y$, which is not the case. Thus if $char(k) = p > 0$, then there exists j such that i_j is not divisible by p. On the other hand, if $char(k) = 0$, then we shall choose $j = 1$. Set $x_{i_r} = 1$ for each $r \neq j$. Since $u(1, 1, \dots, 1, x_j, 1, \dots, 1) = 0$, it follows that $x_j^{i_j} \in V$. Let $n = i_j$. As V is a T-space, it follows that $(x_j + 1)^n \in V$. Since k is infinite, every homogeneous component of $(x_j + 1)^n = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} x_j^i$ also belongs to V. In particular, $\binom{n}{1} x_j \in V$, and since $\binom{n}{1} = n \neq 0$ in k, we conclude that $x_j \in V$. Thus $V = k\langle X\rangle$, which proves that every proper T-space of $k\langle X\rangle$ is contained in Y, as required. $\square$

Thus every T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle$ is contained in Y, and Y is not a T-ideal of $k\langle X\rangle$ (since $k \subseteq Y$).

Now suppose that k is a finite field of order q and characteristic p. In this case, $k\langle X\rangle$ has maximum T-ideal equal to $T^{(2)} + \{\, x - x^q \,\}^T$. As in the preceding infinite field case, the maximum T-ideal is not a maximal T-space, as $k + T^{(2)} + \{\, x - x^q \,\}^T$ is a proper T-space containing it.

Proposition 6.2. *If k is a finite field of order q, then $W = k + T^{(2)} + \{\, x - x^q \,\}^T$ is a maximal T-space of $k\langle X\rangle$.*

Proof. Let $f \notin W$, and let $U = W + \{\, f \,\}^S$. We prove that $U = k\langle X\rangle$. Note that $x^i \equiv x^{q+i-1} \pmod{W}$ for every positive integer i. Thus we may assume that in every monomial u of f, each variable has degree at most $q - 1$. We may also assume that f is q-homogeneous, and thus f is a monomial (since $T^{(2)} \subseteq W$). Choose one variable that appears in u and set all other variables equal to 1 to obtain that for some $x \in X$ and some positive integer i, $x^i \in U$. Let $i = p^t m$ where $(p, m) = 1$. Then $(x + 1)^i = (x^{p^t} + 1)^m \in U$, and so each homogeneous component of $(x^{p^t} + 1)^m$ belongs to U as well. In particular, $m x^{p^t} \in U$, and since $m \neq 0$ in k, we have $x^{p^t} \in U$. But then for every j, $x^{jp^t} \in U$. Choose j such that $jp^t = q^r$ for some positive integer r. Then $x^{q^r} = x^{jp^t} \in U$. But $x^{q^r} \equiv x \pmod{W}$ and thus $x^{q^r} \equiv x \pmod{U}$, which means that $x \in U$. $\square$

In the discussion of the unitary case $k\langle X\rangle$, we shall frequently consider $U \subseteq k\langle X\rangle_0$ and wish to compare the T-space generated by U in $k\langle X\rangle_0$, which we shall now denote by U^{S_0}, to the T-space generated by U in $k\langle X\rangle$, which we shall denote by U^S.

In Definition 3.1, for each $n \geq 0$, we defined $V_n = \{\, x + x^{q^{2^n}} \,\}^{S_0} \subseteq k[x]_0$.

Definition 6.1. *In $k\langle X\rangle$, for each $n \geq 1$, let $V_n^u = T^{(2)} + \{\, x + x^{q^{2^n}} \,\}^S$.*

Note that for each $n \geq 0$, $V_n^u = k + T^{(2)} + \{\, x + x^{q^{2^n}} \,\}^{S_0}$, and so V_n^u is a proper T-space of $k\langle X\rangle$.

Proposition 6.3. *Let k be a finite field of order q and characteristic $p > 2$. Then for any $m, n \geq 0$ with $m \neq n$, $V_m^u + V_n^u = k\langle X\rangle$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.1, for any positive integers m, n with $m \neq n$, in $k[x]_0$ we have $V_n + V_m = k[x]_0$ and so $V_m^u + V_n^u = k\langle X\rangle$. ☐

The proof of the following corollary is similar to the corresponding result in the nonunitary case and is therefore omitted.

Corollary 6.1. *If k is a finite field of characteristic $p > 2$, then $k\langle X\rangle$ has infinitely many maximal T-spaces.*

It remains to examine the situation when $p = 2$. Assume now that k is a field of order q and characteristic 2. Recall that in Definition 4.1 for each positive integer n, we have defined $W_n = \{\, x + x^q, x^{q^n+1} \,\}^{S_0}$ in $k[x]_0$.

Proposition 6.4. *For each $n \geq 1$, $W_n^S = k + W_n^{S_0}$.*

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$. Evidently, we have $k + W_n^{S_0} \subseteq W_n^S$. For any $\alpha \in k$ and any $u \in k\langle X\rangle_0$, we have $(\alpha+u)+(\alpha+u)^q = \alpha+u+\alpha^q+u^q = 2\alpha+(u+u^q) \in k+W_n^{S_0}$, and $(\alpha+u)^{q^n+1} = (\alpha+u)^{q^n}(\alpha+u) = (\alpha+u^{q^n})(\alpha+u) = \alpha^2+\alpha u+\alpha u^{q^n}+u^{q^n+1}$. Now $\alpha u+\alpha u^{q^n} \in \{\, x+x^q \,\}^{S_0}$, and $u^{q^n+1} \in \{\, x^{q^n+1} \,\}^{S_0}$, so $(\alpha+u)^{q^n+1} \in k+W_n^{S_0}$. Thus $W_n^S \subseteq k + W_n^{S_0}$, and so equality prevails. ☐

Definition 6.2. *For each positive integer n, let $W_n^u = W_n^S$ in $k\langle X\rangle$.*

By Corollary 4.1, for any integer $n \geq 1$, W_n is a proper T-space of $k[x]_0$, and thus W_n^u is a proper T-space of $k\langle X\rangle$. In particular, for each $n \geq 0$, $W_{q^n}^u$ is a proper T-space of $k\langle X\rangle$.

Proposition 6.5. *Let n, m be nonnegative integers with $n \neq m$. Then $W_{q^n}^u + W_{q^m}^u = k\langle X\rangle$.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.4, $W_{q^n}^u + W_{q^m}^u = k + W_{q^n}^{S_0} + k + W_{q^m}^{S_0} = k + W_{q^n}^{S_0} + W_{q^m}^{S_0}$, and by Proposition 4.3, $W_{q^n}^{S_0} + W_{q^m}^{S_0} = k\langle X\rangle_0$, so $W_{q^n}^u + W_{q^m}^u = k + k\langle X\rangle_0 = k\langle X\rangle$. ☐

Corollary 6.2. *Let k be a finite field of characteristic 2. Then $k\langle X\rangle$ has infinitely many maximal T-spaces.*

Proof. Let k have order q. We have observed above that for each $n \geq 0$, $W_{q^n}^u$ is a proper T-space of $k\langle X\rangle$, and by Proposition 6.5, for $m \neq n$, no maximal T-space of $k\langle X\rangle$ contains both $W_{q^m}^u$ and $W_{q^n}^u$. Thus $k\langle X\rangle$ has infinitely many maximal T-spaces. ☐

References

[1] V. Drensky, *Free Algebras and PI-Algebras*, Grad. Course in Algebra, Springer-Verlag, Singapore (2000).

[2] A. V. Grishin, *On the finite-basis property of systems of generalized polynomials*, Izv. Math. USSR, **37**, no. 2, 1991, 243–272.

[3] A. V. Grishin, *On the finite-basis property of abstract T-spaces*, Fund. Prikl. Mat., **1**, 1995, 669–700 (Russian).

[4] T. R. Sundaraman, *Precomplete varieties of R-algebras*, Algebra Univ. 3(1975), 397–405.